

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,302	09/29/2000	Richard Robb	1010/202	1774
26588	7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
LIU & LIU			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
444 S. FLOWER STREET SUITE 1750 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071		750	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1762	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/677,302	ROBB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alain L. Bashore	1762	٠			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad:	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	_ 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	: Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTC)-152)			
S. Patent and Trademak Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/677,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al in view of Nymeyer.

Walker et al discloses auctioning services requested by a buyer utilizing the Internet, where a broker is disclosed and also where bidders are sellers of services (col 2, lines 35-45). The buyer is disclosed as maybe limiting the number of bids to be considered at an auction because of tradeoff (col 2, lines 50-65). A number of bids are made available to bidders, the buyer is notified of the bids, and the buyer selects a bidder (fig 15). Walker et al appears to disclose a buyers rating (col 3, lines 30-40).

Walker et al does not explicitly describe his system as an "auction".

Nymeyer discloses auctioning CPOs (col 2, lines 4-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/677,302 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include CPOs as part of an auctioninf process because Nymeyer teaches the importance of price determination (col 1, lines 30-50).

3. Claims 4, 10, 16, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al in view of Nymeyer as applied to claims above, and further in view of Saito et al.

Walker et al in view of Nymeyer does not disclose the service request as for print services.

Saito discloses print services as a commodity (col 3, lines 40-46).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include the service request as for print services Saito teaches that printing services is one type of commerce (col 3, lines 40-46).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of recored have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/677,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762